UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/532,300	10/12/2005	Taketoshi Usui	01197.0254	1095		
	7590 07/21/200 ENDERSON, FARAE	EXAMINER				
LLP	ŕ	ARNBERG, MEGAN C				
	RK AVENUE, NW N, DC 20001-4413		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1796			
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
			07/21/2008	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Occurrence		Ap	Application No. Applicant(s)						
		10	0/532,300		USUI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			caminer		Art Unit				
		МІ	EGAN ARNBERG		1796				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	ication appears	s on the cover sh	eet with the c	orrespondence ac	ddress			
WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr period for reply is specified above, the maximum st e to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE s of 37 CFR 1.136(a) munication. ratutory period will ap v will, by statute, caus	OF THIS COMI In no event, however, only and will expire SIX the application to be	MUNICATION may a reply be tim (6) MONTHS from the come ABANDONE	I. ely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 26 June	2008						
′=		<u>-</u>	ion is non-final.						
′=		<i>,</i> —		I matters pro	secution as to the	e merits is			
-	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
	on of Claims	·	•	·					
· ·		ading in the an	plication						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,6 and 9-23</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
· ·	Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,6 and 9-23</u> is/are rejected to	ectea.							
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	-4:		4					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restric	ction and/or ele	ection requireme	nt.					
Applicati	on Papers								
9) 🔲 -	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.							
10) 🔲 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) <u>□</u> accepte	ed or b)⊟ object	ed to by the E	xaminer.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notice Notice (3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Foration Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	Pap 5) Not	erview Summary per No(s)/Mail Da ice of Informal Pa er:	te				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-3, 5-6 and 9-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishimura et al. (EP 0304503) in view of Yamada et al. (JP 2001156114). The English language equivalent, U.S. Pat. 6512184, of the Japanese document is used for the citations below.

Regarding claim 1: Ishimura et al. teaches a curing agent/hardener comprising: a core/powder of an amine compound that has at least one tertiary amino group, a

Page 3

reaction product of the amine compound and an epoxy resin as a capsule membrane/shell, a group capable of absorbing infrared rays of wave length 1630 to 1680 cm⁻¹, and a group capable of absorbing infrared rays of wave length 1680 to 1725 cm⁻¹ on the surface of the core/powder, thereby being an intermediate layer between the core/powder and the capsule membrane/shell (abstract).

Because example 2 of Ishimura et al. is prepared the same way as example 2 of the instant application, using the same steps with essentially the same amount of reactants (see page 12 paragraph labeled Preparation of hardener), although not explicitly recited, it is inherent that the weight ratio of the core and the capsule membrane formed is between 100:1 to 100:100. This is further evidenced because Ishimura et al. states that a shell has formed (page 13 lines 10-13 and Fig. 2).

Ishimura et al. does not disclose that the chlorines in the composition is not more than 400 ppm. However, Yamada et al. teaches an epoxy resin composition (col. 4 lines 36-50) with an encapsulated amine hardening agent (col. 5 lines 1-8) with contaminant chloride ions less than 100 ppm (col. 5 lines 39-45). Ishimura et al. and Yamada et al. are analogous art since they are both concerned with the same field of endeavor, namely epoxy resins with encapsulated latent amine curing agents. At the time of the invention a person having ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to combine the teachings of the low chloride content of Yamada et al. with the composition of Ishimura et al. and would have been motivated to do so for such desirable properties as decreased amount of trapped water in the resin and proper amounts of electroconductivity, as evidenced by Yamada et al. (col. 2 lines 9-43).

Regarding claims 2 and 3: While Ishimura et al. does not directly teach that the ¹³C-NMR spectrum of the capsule membrane/shell ratio of a largest peak height between 37 to 47 ppm to a largest peak height between 47 to 57 ppm is not lower than 3 and not higher than 7, and the melt viscosity of the amine curing agent/hardener is not higher than 10 Pa·s at 160 °C, since all of the components are present in the composition it is implicit that the composition would have these properties. If it is applicants' position that this would not be the case: (1) evidence would need to be presented to support applicants' position; and (2) it would be the Office's position that the application contains inadequate disclosure that there is no teaching as to how to obtain a composition with these properties.

Regarding claim 5: Ishimura et al. teaches the basic curing agent as set forth above. Ishimura et al. does not disclose that the chlorines in the composition is not more than 400 ppm. However, Yamada et al. teaches an epoxy resin composition (col. 4 lines 36-50) with an encapsulated amine hardening agent (col. 5 lines 1-8) with contaminant chloride ions less than 100 ppm (col. 5 lines 39-45). At the time of the invention a person having ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to combine the teachings of the low chloride content of Yamada et al. with the composition of Ishimura et al. and would have been motivated to do so for such desirable properties as decreased amount of trapped water in the resin and proper amounts of electroconductivity, as evidenced by Yamada et al. (col. 2 lines 9-43).

Regarding claim 6: Reference example 1 of Ishimura et al. teaches reacting an epoxy resin with an amine compound to obtain the core/powder amine compound.

Ishimura et al. does not disclose that the chlorines in the composition is not more than 400 ppm. However, Yamada et al. teaches an epoxy resin composition (col. 4 lines 36-50) with an encapsulated amine hardening agent (col. 5 lines 1-8) with contaminant chloride ions less than 100 ppm (col. 5 lines 39-45). At the time of the invention a person having ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to combine the teachings of the low chloride content of Yamada et al. with the composition of Ishimura et al. and would have been motivated to do so for such desirable properties as decreased amount of trapped water in the resin and proper amounts of electroconductivity, as evidenced by Yamada et al. (col. 2 lines 9-43).

Regarding claim 9: A masterbatch is disclosed in Ishimura et al. (abstract) comprising 100 parts by weight of the curing agent/hardener and 10-50,000 parts by weight epoxy resin (page 3 lines 24-25).

Regarding claim 10: Ishimura et al. teaches 0.1 to 100 parts by weight of the masterbatch can be used to 100 parts by weight of an epoxy resin (page 9 lines 19-20).

Regarding claim 11: The composition can be mixed with other curing agents such as acid anhydrides (pg. 9 lines 24-39). Example 13 has 100 parts by weight epoxy, 90 parts by weight acid anhydride and 10 parts by weight masterbatch (pg. 18), which overlaps the claimed ranges.

Regarding claims 12, 16, and 20: Ishimura et al. teaches using the compositions for IC chip sealing, which uses anisotropic conductive materials (pg. 10 lines 21-31).

Regarding claims 13, 17, and 21: Ishimura et al. teaches using the compositions for the bonding of printed circuit boards, which uses conductive adhesive materials (pg. 10 lines 21-31).

Regarding claims 14, 18, and 22: Ishimura et al. teaches using the compositions for bonding headlight devices, which uses insulating adhesive material (pg. 10 lines 21-31).

Regarding claims 15, 19, and 23: Ishimura et al. teaches using the compositions for impregnating/encapsulating motor coils (pg. 10 lines 21-31).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 5, 6, and 9-23, including the issues discussed in the attached declaration filed June 26, 2008, have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MEGAN ARNBERG whose telephone number is (571)270-3292. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30-5:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Eashoo can be reached on (571) 272-1197. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/532,300 Page 7

Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/MARK EASHOO, Ph.D./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796 21-Jul-08 /M. A./ Examiner, Art Unit 1796